



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,668	10/17/2005	Herwig Buchholz	MERCK-2686-1	1550

23599 7590 06/23/2011  
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.  
2200 CLARENDON BLVD.  
SUITE 1400  
ARLINGTON, VA 22201

EXAMINER
----------

BLAKELY III, NELSON CLARENCE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1629

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

06/23/2011

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@mwzb.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/553,668	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BUCHHOLZ ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> NELSON BLAKELY III	<b>Art Unit</b> 1629
<b>All Participants:</b>	<b>Status of Application:</b> _____	
(1) <u>Nelson C Blakely III (Examiner).</u>	(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Harry Shubin (Attorney).</u>	(4) _____.	
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>15 June 2011</u>	<b>Time:</b> _____	

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**  
Rejection(s) discussed:  
  
  
Claims discussed:  
  
  
Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**  
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*On 06/15/2011, Attorney of Record Shubin left a voicemail message confirming that no response was filed with the Office.*

**Part III.**  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Nelson C Blakely III/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1629

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)